

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION N |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 10/763,720 | 01/23/2004 | | Perry R. DeYoung | OLI02 P-358 7339 | |
| 277 | 7590 | 03/15/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| | | COOPER DEW | MORAN, KATHERINE M | | |
| 695 KENMO P O BOX 25 | • | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| GRAND RA | | 49501 | | 3765 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/763,720 | DEYOUNG ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Katherine Moran | 3765 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ja | nuary 2006. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7-9,11,13-15 and 17</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7-9,11,13-15 and 17</u> is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/23/04. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment of 1/5/06 has been received and reviewed. Applicant amended claims 1, 5, 7, 11, 13, and 17, and cancelled claims 4, 6, 10, 12, 16, and 18. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-9, 11, 13-15, and 17 are pending. Upon further review, the indicated allowable subject matter of claims 4-6, 10-12, and 16-18 is withdrawn.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the grabbing ribs having a longer dimension that extends in the direction perpendicular to the tapering direction must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering

Application/Control Number: 10/763,720

Art Unit: 3765

of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Votolato (US 2003/0131393) in view of Bignon et al. (Bignon, U.S. 6,532,597). Votolato discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Votolato teaches a food holder 10 comprising a substantially symmetrical U-shaped body comprising a pair of opposite digit pockets 11,12 for accepting fingers or a thumb of a user of the food holder therein and a food pocket located between the digit pockets. The food pocket defines an inwardly tapering opening and the digit pockets taper in a tapering direction, with the pocket defining an opening having an open front and a closed rear 13. However, Votolato doesn't teach an exterior surface of the digit pockets having elongated ridges, or that the ridges have a longer dimension in the tapering direction than in a direction perpendicular to the tapering direction, or grabbing ribs having a

Application/Control Number: 10/763,720 Page 4

Art Unit: 3765

longer dimension that extends in the direction perpendicular to the tapering direction. Bignon '597 teaches a food holder with opposite digit pockets 20,30 with the pockets having elongated ridges. The ridges serve to reinforce the glove's structure and also, by virtue of their raised profiles, provide air channels on the interior of the glove, thus providing expanded exterior space for air flow. Applicant's specification does not provide criticality for the ridges changing dimension and as such this feature appears to be a design choice which could have been arrived at through routine experimentation. The tapered ridge dimension would follow the tapered shape of the pockets and would provide an improved aesthetic effect. Bignon teaches ridges having a longer dimension in the tapering direction than in a direction perpendicular to the tapering direction as shown in Figures 2 and 4. Grabbing ribs 44,46 are positioned on the digit pockets and column 2, lines 30-36 recite that projecting ribs or reinforcements on the inside or outside faces, or on both of the digit pockets provide a reinforcing effect, reduce contact between the glove and objects being handled, and limit the transfer of heat to the hand of the user. Therefore, it would have been obvious to provide Votolato's exterior pocket surfaces with ridges and ribs having a longer dimension in the tapering direction than in a direction perpendicular to the tapering direction, as a reinforcement means and to serve as an interface between objects being handled and the glove's base surface.

4. Claims 13-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Votolato in view of Bignon and Depta, Jr. (Depta,2,701,361). Votolato, when viewed with Bignon as discussed above, discloses the invention as claimed. Votolato teaches a use for his device including handling food. However, Votolato doesn't teach a

Art Unit: 3765

method of cutting food while wearing the claimed device. Depta teaches that it is known to wear a protective device 17 while cutting food 16. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to wear the device of Votolato performing the cutting of food because Votolato's device provides a more substantial coverage for the wearer's hand.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant has not submitted arguments.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Primary Examiner Katherine Moran at (571) 272-4990. The examiner can be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm, and alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert, may be reached at (571) 272-4983. The official and after final fax number for the organization where this application is assigned is (571) 273-8300. General information regarding this application may be obtained by contacting the Group Receptionist at (571) 272-3700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 3765

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kmm

March 6, 2006

Katherine Moran

Primary Examiner, AU 3765